arXiv:1610.05577v1 [cs.DM] 18 Oct 2016

The constant of recognizability is computable for primitive morphisms

Fabien Durand
Laboratoire Amiénois de Mathématiques Fondamentales et Appliquées,
CNRS-UMR 7352,
Université de Picardie Jules Verne,
33 rue Saint Leu, 80000 Amiens,
France
fabien.durand@u-picardie.fr

Julien Leroy
Institut de mathématique,
Université de Liège
Allée de la découverte 12 (B37)
4000 Liège,
Belgium
J.Leroy@ulg.ac.be

Abstract

Mossé proved that primitive morphisms are recognizable. In this paper we give a computable upper bound for the constant of recognizability of such a morphism. This bound can be expressed only using the cardinality of the alphabet and the length of the longest image under the morphism of a letter.

1 Introduction

Infinite words, i.e., infinite sequences of symbols from a finite set, usually called alphabet, form a classical object of study. They have an important representation power: they provide a natural way to code elements of an infinite set using finitely many symbols, e.g., the coding

of an orbit in a discrete dynamical system or the characteristic sequence of a set of integers. A rich family of infinite words, with a simple algorithmic description, is made of the words obtained by iterating a morphism $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ [2], where A^* is the free monoid generated by the finite alphabet A.

If σ is *prolongable* on some letter $a \in A$, that is, if $\sigma(a) = au$ for some non-empty word u and $\lim_{n\to+\infty} |\sigma^n(a)| = +\infty$, then $\sigma^n(a)$ converges to an infinite word $x = \sigma^\omega(a) \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ that is a fixed point of σ. Two-sided fixed points are similarly defined as infinite words of the form $\sigma^\omega(a \cdot b) \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\sigma(a) = ua$ and $\sigma(b) = bv$ with $u, v \in A^+$ and $\lim_{n\to+\infty} |\sigma^n(a)| = \lim_{n\to+\infty} |\sigma^n(b)| = +\infty$. Such a fixed point is said to be *admissible* if ab occurs in $\sigma^n(c)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $c \in A$. When the morphism is *primitive*, i.e., there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that b occurs in $\sigma^k(c)$ for all $b, c \in A$, then x is *uniformly recurrent*: any finite word that occurs in x occurs infinitely many times in it and with bounded gaps [15]. The converse almost holds true: if $x = \sigma^\omega(a)$ is uniformly recurrent, then there exist a primitive morphism $\varphi : B^* \to B^*$, a letter $b \in B$ and a morphism $\psi : B^* \to A^*$ such that $x = \psi(\varphi^\omega(b))$ [3]. We let $\mathcal{L}(x)$ denote the set of *factors* of x, i.e., $\mathcal{L}(x) = \{u \in A^* \mid \exists p \in A^*, w \in A^{\mathbb{N}} : x = puw\}$ (with a similar definition of two-sided fixed points).

Recognizability is a central notion when dealing with fixed point of morphisms. It is linked to existence of long powers u^k in $\mathcal{L}(x)$ [12]. An infinite word $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is said to be *k-power-free* if there is no non-empty word u such that u^k belongs to $\mathcal{L}(x)$. We refer, for example, to [4, 7, 1, 6]. It roughly means that any long enough finite word that occurs in $\sigma^\omega(a)$ has a unique pre-image under σ, except for a prefix and a suffix of bounded length which is called the *constant of recognizability*. A fundamental result concerning recognizability is due to Mossé who proved that aperiodic primitive morphisms (i.e., primitive morphisms with aperiodic fixed points) are recognizable [13, 14]. In this paper, we present a detailed proof of this result. This allows us to give a bound on the constant of recognizability.

2 Recognizability

Given a morphism $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$, we respectively define $|\sigma|$ and $\langle\sigma\rangle$ by

$$|\sigma| = \max_{a\in A} |\sigma(a)|, \quad \text{and,} \quad \langle\sigma\rangle = \min_{a\in A} |\sigma(a)|.$$

Assuming that σ has an admissible fixed point $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $f_x^{(p)}$ denote the function

$$f_x^{(p)} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, i \mapsto f_x^{(p)}(i) = \begin{cases} |\sigma^p(x_{[0,i[})| & \text{if } i > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ |\sigma^p(x_{[i,0[})| & \text{if } i < 0. \end{cases}$$

We set $E(x, \sigma^p) = f_x^{(p)}(\mathbb{Z})$. When it is clear from the context, we simply write $f^{(p)}$ instead of $f_x^{(p)}$.

Given two integers i, j with $i \leq j$, we let $x_{[i,j]}$ and $x_{[i,j[}$ respectively denote the factors $x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_j$ and $x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{j-1}$ (with $x_{[i,i[} = \varepsilon$, where ϵ is the empty word, i.e., the neutral element of A^*).

Definition 1. We say that σ is *recognizable on* x if there exists some constant $L > 0$ such that for all $i, m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(x_{[m-L,m+L]} = x_{[f^{(1)}(i)-L,f^{(1)}(i)+L]}) \Rightarrow (\exists j \in \mathbb{Z})((m = f^{(1)}(j)) \wedge (x_i = x_j)).$$

The smallest L satisfying this condition is called the *constant of recognizability* of σ for x. When σ is recognizable on all its admissible fixed points, we say that it is recognizable and its constant of recognizability is the greatest one.

Lemma 2. *If $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ is recognizable on the admissible fixed point $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and if L is the constant of recognizability of σ for x, then for all $k > 0$, x is also an admissible fixed point of σ^k and σ^k is recognizable on x and its constant of recognizability for x is at most $L\frac{|\sigma|^k-1}{|\sigma|-1}$.*

Proof. The result holds by induction on $k > 0$. The infinite word x is obviously an admissible fixed point of σ^k. With $L' = L\frac{|\sigma|^k-1}{|\sigma|-1}$, let us show that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the word

$$x_{[f^{(k)}(i)-L',f^{(k)}(i)+L']}$$

uniquely determines the letter x_i.

By recognizability, the word $x_{[f^{(k)}(i)-L',f^{(k)}(i)+L']}$ uniquely determines the word $x_{[m,M]}$, where m is the smallest integer such that $f^{(k)}(i) - L' \leq f^{(1)}(m) - L$ and M is the largest integer such that $f^{(1)}(M)+L \leq f^{(k)}(i)+L'$. Therefore, the word $x_{[f^{(k)}(i)-L',f^{(k)}(i)+L']}$ uniquely determines the word

$$x_{[f^{(k-1)}(i)-\frac{L'-L}{|\sigma|},f^{(k-1)}(i)+\frac{L'-L}{|\sigma|}]} = x_{[f^{(k-1)}(i)-L'',f^{(k-1)}(i)+L'']},$$

where $L'' = L\frac{|\sigma|^{k-1}-1}{|\sigma|-1}$. □

Theorem 3. *Let $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ be an aperiodic primitive morphism and let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an admissible fixed point of σ.*

1. *[13] There exists $M > 0$ such that, for all $i, m \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$x_{[f^{(1)}(i)-M,f^{(1)}(i)+M]} = x_{[m-M,m+M]} \Longrightarrow m \in E(x, \sigma).$$

2. *[14] There exists $L > 0$ such that, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$x_{[f^{(1)}(i)-L,f^{(1)}(i)+L]} = x_{[f^{(1)}(j)-L,f^{(1)}(j)+L]} \Longrightarrow x_i = x_j.$$

By a careful reading of the proofs of Mossé's results, we can improve it as follows. The proof is given in Section 3. For an infinite word $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we let $p_x : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ denote the *complexity function* of x defined by $p_x(n) = \#\mathcal{L}_n(x)$ where $\mathcal{L}_n(x) = (\mathcal{L}(x) \cap A^n)$.

Theorem 4. *Let $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ be a morphism with an admissible fixed point $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$. If x is k-power-free and if there is some constant N such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\sigma^n| \leq N\langle\sigma^n\rangle$, then σ is recognizable on x and its constant of recognizability for x is at most $R|\sigma^{dQ}| + |\sigma^d|$, where*

- $R = \lceil N^2(k+1) + 2N \rceil$;
- $Q = 1 + p_x(R)\left(\sum_{\frac{R}{N} \leq i \leq RN+2} p_x(i)\right)$;
- $d \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \#A\}$ *is such that for any words $u, v \in \mathcal{L}(x)$, we have*

$$\sigma^{d-1}(u) \neq \sigma^{d-1}(v) \Rightarrow \forall n, \sigma^n(u) \neq \sigma^n(v).$$

Then, we give some computable bounds for N, R, k, Q and d in the case of primitive morphisms. These bounds are not sharp but can be expressed only using the cardinality of the alphabet and the maximal length $|\sigma|$. The proof is given in Section 4.

Theorem 5. *Any aperiodic primitive morphism $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ that admits a fixed point $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is recognizable on x and the constant of recognizability for x is at most*

$$2|\sigma|^{6(\#A)^2+6(\#A)|\sigma|^{28(\#A)^2}} + |\sigma|^{(\#A)}.$$

The bound given in the previous theorem is far from being sharp. When the morphism σ is injective on $\mathcal{L}(x)$ (which is decidable, see [5]), we can take $d = 1$ in Theorem 4 and the computation in the proof of Theorem 5 gives the bound

$$2|\sigma|^{6(\#A)^2+6|\sigma|^{28(\#A)^2}} + |\sigma|.$$

The notion of recognizability is also known as *circularity* in the terminology of *D0L-systems* [9]. Assume that $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ is non-erasing and that $a \in A$ is a letter such that the language $\mathrm{Fac}(\sigma, a)$ defined as the set of factors occuring in $\sigma^n(a)$ for some n is infinite. Given a word $u = u_1 \cdots u_{|u|} \in \mathcal{L}(a)$, we say that a triplet (p, v, s) is an *interpretation* of u if $\sigma(v) = pus$. Two interpretations $(p, v, s), (p', v', s')$ are said to be *synchronized at position k* if there exist i, j such that $1 \leq i \leq |v|$, $1 \leq j \leq |v'|$ and

$$\sigma(v_1 \cdots v_i) = pu_1 \cdots u_k \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(v'_1 \cdots v'_j) = p'u_1 \cdots u_k.$$

The word u has a *synchronizing point (at position k)* if all its interpretations are synchronized (at position k). The pair (σ, a) is said to be *circular* if σ is injective on $\mathrm{Fac}(\sigma, a)$ and if there is a constant C, called the *synchronizing delay* of σ, such that any word of length at least C has a synchronizing point. Thus, despite some considerations about whether we deal with fixed points or languages, recognizability and circularity are roughly the same notion and the synchronizing delay C is associated with the constant of recognizablity L through the equation $C = 2L + 1$. Using the termininology of D0L-systems, Klouda and Medková obtained the following result which greatly improves our bounds, but for restricted cases.

Theorem 6 ([10]). *If $\#A = 2$ and if (σ, a) is circular with $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ a k-uniform morphism for some $k \geq 2$, then the synchronizing delay C of (σ, a) is bounded as follows:*

1. *$C \leq 8$ if $k = 2$,*
2. *$C \leq k^2 + 3k - 4$ if k is an odd prime number,*
3. *$C \leq k^2\left(\frac{k}{d} - 1\right) + 5k - 4$ otherwise,*

where d is the least divisor of k greater than 1.

3 Proof of Theorem 4

Like in Mossé's original proof, the proof of Theorem 4 goes in two steps.

As a first step, we express the constant M of Theorem 3 in terms of the constants N, R, k and Q of Theorem 4. This is done in Proposition 8 with a proof following the lines of the proof of [11, Proposition 4.35]. The difference is that we take care of all the needed bounds to express the constant of recognizability.

As a second step, we show that the constant L of Theorem 3 can be taken equal to $M' + |\sigma^d|$, where d is as defined in Theorem 4 and M' is such that for all $i, m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$x_{[f^{(d)}(i)-M', f^{(d)}(i)+M']} = x_{[m-M, m+M]} \Longrightarrow m \in E(x, \sigma^d).$$

We first start with the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ be a non-erasing morphism, $u \in A^*$ be a word and n be a positive integer. If $v = v_0 \cdots v_{t+1} \in A^*$ is a word of length $t + 2$ such that $\sigma^n(v[1, t])$ is a factor of $\sigma^n(u)$, and $\sigma^n(u)$ is a factor of $\sigma^n(v)$, then*

$$\frac{\langle \sigma^n \rangle}{|\sigma^n|}|u| - 2 \leq t \leq \frac{|\sigma^n|}{\langle \sigma^n \rangle}|u|.$$

Proof. Indeed, since $\sigma^n(v[1, t])$ is a factor of $\sigma^n(u)$ we have $t\langle \sigma^n \rangle \leq |\sigma^n(v[1, t])| \leq |\sigma^n(u)| \leq |u||\sigma^n|$. Hence $t \leq |u||\sigma^n|/\langle \sigma^n \rangle$. Similarly, since $\sigma^n(u)$ is a factor of $\sigma^n(v)$, we have $|u| \leq (t + 2)|\sigma^n|/\langle \sigma^n \rangle$. We thus have

$$|u|\frac{\langle \sigma^n \rangle}{|\sigma^n|} - 2 \leq t \leq |u|\frac{|\sigma^n|}{\langle \sigma^n \rangle}.$$

□

Proposition 8. *Let $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ be a morphism with an admissible fixed point $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Assuming that x is k-power-free and that there is some constant N such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\sigma^n| \leq N\langle \sigma^n \rangle$, we consider the constants*

- $R = \lceil N^2(k+1) + 2N \rceil$;
- $Q = 1 + p_x(R)\left(\sum_{\frac{R}{N} \le i \le RN+2} p_x(i)\right)$.

The constant $M = R|\sigma^Q|$ is such that for all $i, m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$x_{[f^{(1)}(i)-M, f^{(1)}(i)+M]} = x_{[m-M, m+M]} \Longrightarrow m \in E(x, \sigma). \tag{1}$$

Proof. We follow the lines of the proof of Theorem 3 that is in [11]. Obviously, if l satisfies (1), then so does l' whenever $l' \ge l$. Let us show that such an l, with $R|\sigma^Q|$, exists.

We proceed by contradiction, assuming that for all l, there exist i, j such that $x_{[i-l,i+l]} = x_{[j-l,j+l]}$ with $i \in E(x, \sigma)$ and $j \notin E(x, \sigma)$. For any integer p such that $0 < p \le Q$, we consider the integer $l_p = R|\sigma^p|$. Let i_p and j_p be some integers such that

$$x_{[i_p - l_p, i_p + l_p]} = x_{[j_p - l_p, j_p + l_p]} \quad \text{with } i_p \in E(x, \sigma) \text{ and } j_p \notin E(x, \sigma).$$

We let r_p and s_p denote the smallest integers such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Card}\left([i_p - r_p, i_p[\cap E(x, \sigma^p)\right) &= \left\lceil \frac{R}{2} \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Card}\left([i_p, i_p + s_p] \cap E(x, \sigma^p)\right) &= \left\lfloor \frac{R}{2} \right\rfloor + 1. \end{aligned}$$

There is an integer i'_p such that

$$f^{(p)}(i'_p) = i_p - r_p \quad \text{and} \quad f^{(p)}(i'_p + R) = i_p + s_p.$$

We set

$$u_p = x_{[i'_p, i'_p + R[}.$$

We have $\sigma^p(u_p) = x_{[i_p - r_p, i_p + s_p[}$.

Notice that any interval of length l_p contains at least $R - 1$ elements of $E(x, \sigma^p)$. We thus have $i_p - l_p \le i_p - r_p \le i_p + s_p \le i_p + l_p$. Consequently we also have

$$x_{[j_p - r_p, j_p + s_p[} = \sigma^p(u_p). \tag{2}$$

However $j_p - r_p$ does not need to belong to $E(x, \sigma^p)$. Let j'_p and t_p denote the unique integers such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f^{(p)}(j'_p) < & j_p - r_p & \le f^{(p)}(j'_p + 1); \\ f^{(p)}(j'_p + t_p + 1) \le & j_p + s_p & < f^{(p)}(j'_p + t_p + 2). \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Consequently $\sigma^p(x_{[j'_p+1, j'_p+t_p]})$ is a factor of $\sigma^p(u_p)$ and $\sigma^p(u_p)$ is a factor of $\sigma^p(x_{[j'_p, j'_p+t_p+1]})$. By Lemma 7, we have

$$R\frac{\langle \sigma^p \rangle}{|\sigma^p|} - 2 \le t_p \le R\frac{|\sigma^p|}{\langle \sigma^p \rangle}. \tag{4}$$

Hence

$$\frac{R}{N} - 2 \leq t_p \leq RN.$$

Let $v_p = x_{[j'_p, j'_p + t_p + 1]}$. The number of possible pairs of words (u_p, v_p) is at most

$$p_x(R) \left(\sum_{\frac{R}{N} \leq i \leq RN+2} p_x(i) \right) < Q.$$

Therefore, there exist p and q in $[1, Q]$ such that $p < q$ and $(u_p, v_p) = (u_q, v_q)$. In particular we also have $t_p = t_q$. We write

$$t = t_p, \qquad u = u_p, \qquad v = v_p, \qquad \tilde{v} = x_{[j'_p+1, j'_p+t]}.$$

Using the above notation we recall that we have

$$u = \quad x_{[i'_p, i'_p + R[} \quad = x_{[i'_q, i'_q + R[}, \tag{5}$$

$$v = \quad x_{[j'_p, j'_p + t + 1]} \quad = x_{[j'_q, j'_q + t + 1]}. \tag{6}$$

Let A_p, B_p, A_q and B_q be the words

$$\begin{aligned} A_p &= x_{[j_p - r_p, f^{(p)}(j'_p+1)[}; \\ B_p &= x_{[f^{(p)}(j'_p+t+1), j_p + s_p[}; \\ A_q &= x_{[j_q - r_q, f^{(q)}(j'_q+1)[}; \\ B_q &= x_{[f^{(q)}(j'_q+t+1), j_q + s_q[}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$x_{[j_p - r_p, j_p + s_p[} = A_p \sigma^p(\tilde{v}) B_p \quad \text{and} \quad x_{[j_q - r_q, j_q + s_q[} = A_q \sigma^q(\tilde{v}) B_q, \tag{7}$$

with, using (3),

$$\max\{|A_p|, |B_p|\} \leq |\sigma^p| \quad \text{and} \quad \max\{|A_q|, |B_q|\} \leq |\sigma^q|. \tag{8}$$

From (2) and (7), we obtain

$$\sigma^{q-p}(A_p) \sigma^q(\tilde{v}) \sigma^{q-p}(B_p) = A_q \sigma^q(\tilde{v}) B_q.$$

We claim that

$$A_q = \sigma^{q-p}(A_p) \quad (\text{and hence } B_q = \sigma^{q-p}(B_p)). \tag{9}$$

If not, the word $\sigma^q(\tilde{v})$ has a prefix which is a power w^r with $r = \left\lfloor \frac{|\sigma^q(\tilde{v})|}{||A_q| - |\sigma^{q-p}(A_p)||} \right\rfloor$. Since, using (4) and (8),

$$|\sigma^q(\tilde{v})| \geq t \langle \sigma^q \rangle \geq \left(\frac{R}{N} - 2 \right) \langle \sigma^q \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad ||A_q| - |\sigma^{q-p}(A_p)|| \leq |\sigma^q|,$$

we deduce from the choice of R that $r \geq k+1$, which contradicts the definition of k. We thus have $A_q = \sigma^{q-p}(A_p)$ and $B_q = \sigma^{q-p}(B_p)$.

We now show that

$$[j_q - r_q, j_q + s_q] \cap E(x,\sigma) = ([i_q - r_q, i_q + s_q] \cap E(x,\sigma)) - (i_q - j_q). \tag{10}$$

This will contradict the fact that i_q belongs to $E(x,\sigma)$ and j_q does not.

By (6), we have

$$\sigma^p(v) = x_{[f^{(p)}(j'_p), f^{(p)}(j'_p+t+2)[} = x_{[f^{(p)}(j'_q), f^{(p)}(j'_q+t+2)[}.$$

Since $\sigma^p(u)$ is a factor of $\sigma^p(v)$, we deduce from (3) that there exists $m_q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$f^{(p)}(j'_q) < m_q - r_p < m_q + s_p < f^{(p)}(j'_q + t + 2) \tag{11}$$

and

$$x_{[m_q - r_p, m_q + s_p[} = \sigma^p(u) = A_p \sigma^p(\tilde{v}) B_p.$$

By applying σ^{q-p}, we obtain

$$x_{[f^{(q-p)}(m_q - r_p), f^{(q-p)}(m_q + s_p)[} = A_q \sigma^q(\tilde{v}) B_q,$$

and, from (11),

$$f^{(q)}(j'_q) < f^{(q-p)}(m_q - r_p) < f^{(q-p)}(m_q + s_p) < f^{(q)}(j'_q + t + 2).$$

As we also have

$$x_{[j_q - r_q, j_q + s_q[} = A_q \sigma^q(\tilde{v}) B_q$$

with, by (3),

$$f^{(q)}(j'_q) < j_q - r_q \leq f^{(q)}(j'_q + 1) \leq f^{(q)}(j'_q + t + 1) \leq j_q + s_q < f^{(q)}(j'_q + t + 2),$$

we apply the same argument as to show (9) and get $j_q - r_q = f^{(q-p)}(m_q - r_p)$ (hence $j_q + s_q = f^{(q-p)}(m_q + s_p)$). We thus get that $j_q - r_q$ belongs to $E(x, \sigma^{q-p}) \subset E(x,\sigma)$. Since we also have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{[f^{(1)^{-1}}(j_q - r_q), f^{(1)^{-1}}(j_q + s_q)[} &= \sigma^{q-p-1}(x_{[m_q - r_p, m_q + s_p[}) = \sigma^{q-p-1}(A_p \sigma^p(\tilde{v}) B_p), \\ x_{[f^{(1)^{-1}}(i_q - r_q), f^{(1)^{-1}}(i_q + s_q)[} &= \sigma^{q-1}(x_{[i'_q, i'_q + R[}) = \sigma^{q-p-1}(A_p \sigma^p(\tilde{v}) B_p), \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$x_{[f^{(1)^{-1}}(j_q - r_q), f^{(1)^{-1}}(j_q + s_q)[} = x_{[f^{(1)^{-1}}(i_q - r_q), f^{(1)^{-1}}(i_q + s_q)[}$$

with $j_q - r_q, i_q - r_q$ belonging to $E(x,\sigma)$. By applying σ to these two word, we thus obtain (10), which ends the proof. □

In Proposition 8, we compute a constant such that any long enough word can be cut into words in $\sigma(A)$ in a unique way except for a prefix and a suffix of bounded length. However it does not give information on the letters in A that the words in $\sigma(A)$ come from. A key argument in Mossé's original proof is to prove the existence of an integer d such that for all $a, b \in A$, if $\sigma^n(a) = \sigma^n(b)$ for some n, then $\sigma^d(a) = \sigma^d(b)$. We then prove that the constant L of Theorem 3 can be taken equal to $M + |\sigma^{d+1}|$, where M is the constant of Proposition 8 associated with σ^{d+1}. Theorem 9 below ensures that we can take $d = \#A - 1$, which ends the proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 9 ([5, Theorem 3])**.** *Let $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ be a morphism such that $\sigma(A) \neq \{\varepsilon\}$. For any words $u, v \in A^*$, we have*

$$\sigma^{\#A-1}(u) \neq \sigma^{\#A-1}(v) \Rightarrow \forall n, \sigma^n(u) \neq \sigma^n(v).$$

We give the proof of Mossé's second step result for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 10. *Let $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ be a morphism with an admissible fixed point $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $d \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \#A\}$ be such that for any words $u, v \in \mathcal{L}(x)$,*

$$\sigma^{d-1}(u) \neq \sigma^{d-1}(v) \Rightarrow \forall n, \sigma^n(u) \neq \sigma^n(v).$$

If M is a constant such that for all $i, m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$x_{[f^d(i)-M, f^d(i)+M]} = x_{[m-M, m+M]} \Longrightarrow m \in E(x, \sigma^d),$$

then σ is recognizable on x and its constant of recognizability for x is at most $M + |\sigma^d|$.

Proof. Let $i, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$x_{[f^{(1)}(i)-M-|\sigma^d|, f^{(1)}(i)+M+|\sigma^d|]} = x_{[m-M-|\sigma^d|, m+M+|\sigma^d|]}.$$

By definition of M, there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m = f^{(1)}(j)$. Our goal is to show that $x_i = x_j$.

There exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$f^{(1)}(i) - |\sigma^d| < f^{(d)}(k) \leq f^{(1)}(i) < f^{(d)}(k+1) \leq f^{(1)}(i) + |\sigma^d|.$$

In particular, this implies that $f^{(d-1)}(k) \leq i < f^{(d-1)}(k+1)$.

Consider $c = f^{(1)}(i) - f^{(d)}(k)$ and $d = f^{(d)}(k+1) - f^{(1)}(i)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{[f^{(d)}(k)-M, f^{(d)}(k)+M]} &= x_{[f^{(1)}(j)-c-M, f^{(1)}(j)-c+M]}; \\ x_{[f^{(d)}(k+1)-M, f^{(d)}(k+1)+M]} &= x_{[f^{(1)}(j)+d-M, f^{(1)}(j)+d+M]}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of M, there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$f^{(d)}(l) = f^{(1)}(j) - c \quad \text{and} \quad f^{(d)}(l+1) = f^{(1)}(j) + d.$$

We thus have $f^{(d-1)}(l) \leq j < f^{(d-1)}(l+1)$, and,

$$x_{[f^{(d)}(k),f^{(d)}(k+1)[} = x_{[f^{(d)}(l),f^{(d)}(l+1)[}.$$

Hence $\sigma^d(x_k) = \sigma^d(x_l)$. By definition of d, we also have $\sigma^{d-1}(x_k) = \sigma^{d-1}(x_l)$. Hence

$$x_{[f^{(d-1)}(k),f^{(d-1)}(k+1)[} = x_{[f^{(d-1)}(l),f^{(d-1)}(l+1)[}.$$

Since we have $f^{(1)}(i) - f^{(d)}(k) = f^{(1)}(j) - f^{(d)}(l)$, we also have $i - f^{(d-1)}(k) = j - f^{(d-1)}(l)$. Hence $x_i = x_j$. □

4 Proof of Theorem 5

In this section, we show that the constants appearing in Theorem 4 can all be bounded by some computable constants. In all what follows, we assume that $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ is a primitive morphism. By taking a power of σ if needed, we can assume that it has an admissible fixed point $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Furthermore, we have $\mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}(y)$ for all admissible fixed points y of σ. We let $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ denote this set. The constants appearing in Theorem 4 are thus the same whatever the admissible fixed point we consider and the morphism is recognizable.

With the morphism σ, one associates its *incidence matrix* M_σ defined by $(M_\sigma)_{a,b} = |\sigma(b)|_a$, where $|u|_a$ denotes the number of occurrences of the letter a in the word u.

Lemma 11 ([8]). *A $d \times d$ matrix M is primitive if, and only if, there is an integer $k \leq d^2 - 2d + 2$ such that M^k contains only positive entries.*

Given an infinite word $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and a word $u \in \mathcal{L}(x)$, a *return word* to u in x is a word r such that ru belongs to $\mathcal{L}(x)$, u is a prefix of ru and ru contains exactly two occurrences of u. The infinite word x is *linearly recurrent* if it is *recurrent* (all words in $\mathcal{L}(x)$ appear infinitely many times in x) and there exists some constant K such that for all $u \in \mathcal{L}(x)$, any return word to u has length at most $K|u|$. The set of return words to u in x is denoted $\mathcal{R}_{x,u}$.

The next two results give bounds on the constants appearing in Theorem 4.

Theorem 12 ([4]). *If $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a aperiodic and linearly recurrent sequence (with constant K), then x is $(K+1)$-power-free and $p_x(n) \leq Kn$ for all n.*

Proposition 13 ([3]). *Let $\sigma : A^* \to A^*$ be an aperiodic primitive morphism and x be one of its admissible fixed points. Then we have*

$$|\sigma^n| \leq |\sigma|^{(\#A)^2} \langle \sigma^n \rangle \qquad \text{for all } n$$

and x is linearly recurrent for some constant

$$K_\sigma < |\sigma|^{4(\#A)^2}.$$

Proof. Durand [3] showed that the constant of linear recurrence K_σ is at most equal to $RN|\sigma|$, where

- N is a constant such that $|\sigma^n| \leq N\langle\sigma^n\rangle$ for all n;
- R is the maximal length of a return word to a word of length 2 in $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$.

We only prove here that $N \leq |\sigma|^{(\#A)^2}$ and $R \leq 2|\sigma|^{2(\#A)^2}$. The constant of linear recurrence is thus at most $2|\sigma|^{1+3(\#A)^2} < |\sigma|^{4(\#A)^2}$.

Let us write $d = \#A$. By Lemma 11, the matrix $M_\sigma^{d^2}$ contains only positive entries. For all $n \geq 0$ and all $a \in A$, we have $|\sigma^{n+d^2}(a)| = \sum_{b\in A} |\sigma^{d^2}(a)|_b |\sigma^n(b)| \geq |\sigma^n|$. Since this is true for all a, we get $|\sigma^n| \leq \langle\sigma^{n+d^2}\rangle \leq |\sigma^{d^2}|\langle\sigma^n\rangle$, so $N \leq |\sigma^{d^2}|$.

Let $a \in A$ such that σ is prolongable on a. Thus for all n, any word that occurs in $\sigma^n(a)$ also occurs in $\sigma^{n+1}(a)$. Let us show that for all $n > d^2$, any word $u \in \mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ of length 2 occurs in $\sigma^n(a)$. For all n, the words of length 2 that occur in $\sigma^{n+1}(a)$ occurs in images under σ of the words of length 2 that occur in $\sigma^n(a)$. As any word occurring in $\sigma^n(a)$ also occurs in $\sigma^{n+1}(a)$, the words of length 2 that occurs in $\sigma^{n+1}(a)$ are those that occur in $\sigma^n(a)$ together with those occurring in the images under σ of these words. Thus, if there is a word of length 2 that does not occur in $\sigma^n(a)$, there is a sequence $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$ of words of length 2 in $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ such that for all $i \leq n$, u_i occurs in $\sigma^i(a)$ and does not occur in $\sigma^{i-1}(a)$. Hence all words $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ are distinct. For $n > d^2$, this is a contradiction since there are at most d^2 words of length 2 on the alphabet A. Thus, for any letter $b \in A$, all words $u \in \mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ of length 2 occur in $\sigma^{2d^2}(b)$. We deduce that $R \leq 2|\sigma^{2d^2}|$. □

Proof of Theorem 5. We just have to make the computation. Using Theorem 12, Proposition 13 and the notation of Theorem 4, we can take $d = \#A$ and we successively have

$$\begin{aligned}
k &\leq 1 + K_\sigma \leq |\sigma|^{4d^2},\\
N &\leq |\sigma|^{d^2},\\
R &= \lceil N^2(k+1) + 2N\rceil \leq |\sigma|^{2d^2}(|\sigma|^{4d^2}+1) + 2|\sigma|^{d^2} \leq 2|\sigma|^{6d^2},\\
Q &= 1 + p_x(R), \left(\sum_{\frac{R}{N}\leq i\leq RN+2} p_x(i)\right) \leq K_\sigma 2|\sigma|^{6d^2} \left(\sum_{0\leq i\leq 2+2|\sigma|^{7d^2}} iK_\sigma\right) \leq 6|\sigma|^{28d^2}
\end{aligned}$$

We finally get that the constant of recognizability of σ is at most

$$2|\sigma|^{6d^2}|\sigma|^{6d|\sigma|^{28d^2}} + |\sigma|^d = 2|\sigma|^{6d^2+6d|\sigma|^{28d^2}} + |\sigma|^d.$$

□

References

[1] V. Canterini and A. Siegel, Geometric representation of substitutions of pisot type, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 5121–5144.

[2] C. Choffrut and J. Karhumäki, Combinatorics of words. In *Handbook of formal languages, Vol. 1*, pp. 329–438. Springer, Berlin, 1997.

[3] F. Durand, A characterization of substitutive sequences using return words, *Discrete Math.* **179** (1998), 89–101.

[4] F. Durand, B. Host, and C. Skau, Substitutive dynamical systems, Bratteli diagrams and dimension groups, *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **19** (1999), 953–993.

[5] A. Ehrenfeucht and G. Rozenberg, Simplifications of homomorphisms, *Inform. and Control* **38**(3) (1978), 298–309.

[6] N. P. Fogg, *Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics*, Vol. 1794 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Edited by V. Berthé, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit and A. Siegel.

[7] C. Holton and L. Q. Zamboni, Descendants of primitive substitutions, *Theory Comput. Systems* **32** (1999), 133–157.

[8] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. Corrected reprint of the 1985 original.

[9] L. Kari, G. Rozenberg, and A. Salomaa, *L* systems. In *Handbook of formal languages, Vol. 1*, pp. 253–328. Springer, Berlin, 1997.

[10] K. Klouda and K. Medková, Synchronizing delay for binary uniform morphisms, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **615** (2016), 12–22.

[11] P. Kůrka, *Topological and symbolic dynamics*, Vol. 11 of *Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]*, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003.

[12] F. Mignosi and P. Séébold, If a DOL language is k-power free then it is circular. In *Automata, languages and programming (Lund, 1993)*, Vol. 700 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pp. 507–518. Springer, Berlin, 1993.

[13] B. Mossé, Puissances de mots et reconnaissabilité des points fixes d'une substitution, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **99** (1992), 327–334.

[14] B. Mossé, Reconnaissabilité des substitutions et complexité des suites automatiques, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **124** (1996), 329–346.

[15] M. Queffélec, *Substitution dynamical systems—spectral analysis.Second Edition*, Vol. 1294 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.